UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United	States of America,)	Case No. CR 19-0464 JSW
	Plaintiff, v.)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Shindon	Ejanae Catolyn Smith, Defendant(s).)	NOV 1 3 2019
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on \(\lambda \rightarrow			
×	Failure to grant a continuance worksee 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).		cely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
	The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).		
e	Failure to grant a continuance wo taking into account the exercise o	uld deny f due dili	the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, gence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
		mmitme	asonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given nts, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
		n, taking	asonably deny the defendant the reasonable time into account the exercise of due diligence.
	disposition of criminal cases, the paragraph and — based on the pa the time limits for a preliminary h	court sets rties' sho learing un for an in	ing into account the public interest in the prompt is the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first owing of good cause — finds good cause for extending onder Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for dictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the fim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.			0.11.1.1.1
DATED: 11/13/19 2 20018 WISCON			
	(Kandis A. Westmore United States Magistrate Judge
STIPU	JLATED! Un M		
	Attamaty ton Defendant		Assistant United States Attorney